



## **Minutes**

of the meeting of the Student Feed-back Subcommittee held on April, 16. 2025 from 1pm in Kenézy Villa

**Attendees:** Prof. Dr. Zoltán Papp, Vice-Dean of Scientific Affairs, chairman of the Feed-back Subcommittee, Dr. Róza Zákány associate professor, Dr. Gábor Mogyorósy associate professor Dr. Pál Pap, Head of Registrar's Office, UD Faculty of Medicine, Fanni Csajbók, Viktória Csuka, Zsófi Balogh and Mahammad Izzedin Shehadeh students of the Faculty of Medicine.

**Dr. Zoltán Papp,** Vice-Dean of the Faculty welcomed the participants, announced that the meeting would proceed according to the published agenda.

### **Agenda I: Presentation of the feed-back results for the 2<sup>nd</sup> semester of the academic year 2023-24.**

**Prof. Dr. Zoltán Papp,** Vice-Dean of the Faculty, presented that firstly they will start with the summary of the student feed-back results for the 2<sup>nd</sup> semester of the academic year 2023/2024.

**Dr. Pál Pap,** Head of Registrar's Office, presented the feedback results for the 2<sup>nd</sup> semester of the academic year 2023/24 (see Annex I). He said that he would start with the results for the Medicine Program and then move on to the divided programs. He explained that the basic rule is that 30% completion must be achieved for all subjects in order for the results to be taken into consideration. In this system, students must evaluate the subject on a scale of 1 to 5.

The previous years, the completion rate was very low in all years, and an attempt was made to improve this by providing students who complete the minimum of 5 questionnaires during the semester, the Neptun system will open exam registration one day earlier. This has also improved the completion rates. Even for the block practice, the completion rates are quite high.

In the first semester of the academic year 2024/2025 a new bonus system has been introduced for VI year, which will be discussed in more detail later.

Feedback from Hungarian students on medical program shows that all subjects achieve a level 4 or so. Behavioural Medicine got a grade of 3.84, the Preventive Medicine got 3.90 which is almost a 4. He considered it important to note that in the evaluation of the previous feed-back results, there were several instances where the Preventive Medicine subject had received lower



marks, the students being dissatisfied with the curriculum of the subject. This was 3.8 in the second semester of the academic year 2022/2023. The Department of Public Health and Epidemiology, which coordinates the subject, has developed a new teaching note taking into account student feedback and in consultation with students, which is already being used in the teaching of the subject. This should help to improve the understanding of the subject by students, which, based on the value was successful.

**Dr Pál Pap**, Head of the Registrar's Office, presented the feedback results of the English program students of the Medicine Program. The scores show that the students in the English program gave lower scores in the subjects, but still mostly scored above 4. The following subjects scored below 4: Medical Chemistry II. (3.86), Cell Biology (3.66), Clinical Biochemistry (3.29), Clinical Genetics (3.85), Behavioural Medicine (3.84). Regarding the Clinical Biochemistry course, students mentioned that there was a problem with scanning QR codes, but Dr. Pál Pap, Head of the Registrar's Office, noted that it was a problem with the Biochemistry course, not with Clinical Biochemistry.

The Head of Registrar's Office presented the feedback results for the block practices. The Pediatrics and the Internal Medicine block practices received lower scores.

The Head of the Registrar's Office presented the feedback results of the divided programs (see Annex I.) He first presented the results of the BSc in Medical Diagnostic Analysis. He explained that there are 4 specialisations within the program. In the first semester all students study the same subjects, and from the second semester onwards they have specialisation specific subjects. The results obtained are good, but many of the subjects have scores around 3, which is much lower than the average of 4. Unfortunately, in quite a number of subjects the completion rate was below 30%, so that no data could be evaluated.

He explained that the Faculty has three MSc programs, the MSc in Health Psychology, the MSc in Molecular Biology and the MSc in Clinical Laboratory Sciences.

He explained that for the Health Psychology MSc, the subjects received quite high scores from students except for the Health Management subject (3.72) and Research Methods (3.65) and Public Health Medicine II (3.65).

For the Hungarian students of Molecular Biology, the subject Human Physiology II scored much better than before (3.93). Student perception of this subject is constantly improving. However, Molecular Plant Biology received a very low score (2.88!) and Biostatistics (3.43) is also quite low. In the case of the English Program students in this course the results are good. The Human Physiology II got a 3.06 and Biophysics got a 3.39 score.

Unfortunately, the number of responses for the Clinical Laboratory Research MSc did not reach 30% for any of the subjects, so there was no data to evaluate.



**Agenda II: Presentation of the feed-back results for the 1<sup>st</sup> semester of the academic year 2024-25.**

**Dr. Pál Pap**, Head of the Registrar's Office, presented the feedback results for the first semester of the academic year 2024/2025 (see Annex I.) He said that he would start with the results for the Medicine Program and then move on to the divided programs. The feedback from the Hungarian students of the Medicine Program shows that all subjects reached about level 4. The subjects Bioethics (3.60) the Stomatology (3.86) and the Clinical Genetics (3.53) scored only below 4. In case of the English program, the scores given by foreign students are lower. However, Anatomy, Histology and Embryology I-III showed an improvement compared to the previous semester (3.77, 4.10), while Biophysics (3.91), Biostatistics (3.84), Biochemistry I (3.82) and Medical Anthropology (3.90) also scored below 4.

The Head of the Registrar's Office presented the feedback results for the block practices. Here only the Obstetrics and Gynecology Block scored below 4 (3.89)

The Head of Registrar's Office explained that a new bonus system had been developed for the 6<sup>th</sup> year students who complete all the available questionnaires will be able to register for the oral state exam one day earlier than other graduating students.

This system was introduced as a trial in the academic year 2024/2025, and the results are presented here.

Dr. Pál Pap explained that for the 6<sup>th</sup> year, thanks to the new bonus system, the completion rate of the questionnaires was very good for both Hungarian and English program students. For the Emergency medicine subject there was a small technical error, which is still being corrected.

**Dr. Pál Pap**, Head of the Registrar's Office, presented the feedback results of the divided programs (see Annex I.) He first presented the results of the BSc in Medical Diagnostic Analysis. In this semester, several subjects scored below 4, as in the previous semester Physics (3.74), General Pathology (3.76), Analytical Chemistry Lecture (3.68), Cell Biology (3.56) and Macropathology (3.35) also scored lower than 4.

He explained that the Faculty has three MSc programs, the MSc in Health Psychology, the MSc in Molecular Biology and the MSc in Clinical Laboratory Sciences. He explained that for the Health Psychology MSc, the subjects received quite high marks from the students except of Public Health (3.98), but even so, the subject's perception has improved a lot compared to the previous semester.



In the case of the Molecular Biology program subjects scored around 4. Human Physiology I (3.28), Molecular Genetics (3.35) and Biophysics were lower (3.54). In the case of the English program, Biophysics (3.39) and Human Physiology (3.06) were below 4.

In the MSc in Clinical Laboratory Sciences, students did not complete the questionnaires in sufficient numbers, so there was no feedback to evaluate.

**Prof. Dr. Zoltán Papp**, Vice Dean of the Faculty, explained that all of the Heads of Department would receive the feedback results, the detailed evaluation will also be sent to the Heads.

There being no further comments, the Vice-Dean thanked all present for their work and closed the meeting.

Dr. Zoltán Papp

university professor

Chairman of the Student Feed-Back Subcommittee

Ms Judit Domján Sarkadiné  
keeper of minutes

Debrecen, April, 16. 2025.